

Market Abuse Case Studies

Adam Cobb-Webb Spoofing

Market Abuse Case Study No: 009	Name: Adam Cobb-Webb
Offence: Spoofing in West Texas Intermediate (WTI) light sweet Crude Oil futures contracts traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange, Inc. (NYMEX)	Detection Control: MAST Layering/ Spoofing Metric

 TradingHub

Adam Cobb-Webb

Spoofing

Market Abuse Case Study No:
009

Name:
Adam Cobb-Webb

Civilian Authority:
CFTC

Offence:
Spoofing in West Texas Intermediate (WTI) light sweet Crude Oil futures contracts traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange, Inc. (NYMEX)

1. Key Facts and Overview

In August 2023, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) issued an order simultaneously filing and settling charges against Adam Cobb-Webb, a UK-based trader, for engaging in multiple instances of spoofing in West Texas Intermediate (WTI) light sweet Crude Oil futures contracts traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange, Inc. (NYMEX) from December 2021 through January 2022.

Adam Cobb-Webb is a British citizen who resides in England and is trading for his own account. The CFTC order required Adam Cobb-Webb to pay a \$150,000 civil monetary penalty and imposed a one-year ban from trading. He was also ordered to cease and desist from violating the spoofing prohibition in the Commodity Exchange Act.

2. Findings and Allegations

The CFTC order found that Adam Cobb-Webb's spoofing involved a pattern of trading in which he placed an iceberg order in WTI futures that he intended to execute. While simultaneously entering a series of fully displayed spoof orders on the opposite side of the order book at the first few price levels. After Adam Cobb-Webb's iceberg order received fills, he would cancel his fully displayed spoof orders. Adam Cobb-Webb engaged in this daily trading pattern during December 2021 and January 2022.

Adam Cobb-Webb's fully displayed spoof orders often made up a very large percentage of orders resting at the top price levels. During the majority of the spoofing attempts, the volume of his spoof orders was several times larger than the visible quantity of his iceberg orders. Adam Cobb-Webb entered the spoof orders with the intent to cancel and to create a false impression of buying or selling interest that would induce other market participants to cross the bid-ask spread and fill his iceberg orders. The CFTC order found that Adam Cobb-Webb knew or recklessly disregarded that the spoof orders would create the false appearance of market depth and result in misinformation about supply and demand that could affect market activity.

The CFTC order noted that Cobb-Webb's conduct violated Sections 4c(a)(5)(C) and 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6c(a)(5)(C), 9(1), and Regulation 180.1(a)(1), (3), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1), (3) (2022), which prohibit engaging in any trading, practice, or conduct that is, is of the character of, or is commonly known as, "spoofing," i.e., bidding or offering with the intent to cancel the bid or offer before execution. See *United States v. Coscia*, 866 F.3d 782, 795–96 (7th Cir. 2017) affirming conviction for spoofing where evidence showed that the defendant entered three orders with the intent to cancel before execution. The regulations also prohibit using or employing, or attempting to use or employ, any manipulative device, scheme, or

artifice to fraud, or engaging, or attempting to engage, in any act, practice, or course of business, which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person, in connection with a contract of sales of any commodity for future delivery on or subject to the rules of a registered entity. See, e.g., Skudder, 2022 WL 17752392.

Detecting Spoofing with MAST

The consent order did not provide any examples of the market abuse performed by Adam Cobb-Webb. However, we have produced an example of the type of spoofing that is likely to have taken place. The screenshot illustrates how the MAST website presents the scenario:



1. The genuine buy order for 50 futures contracts is placed by the trader and represented as a green bar in the MAST graph.
2. The trader places two spoof orders to sell 250 futures contracts, which are represented by the red bars.
3. The yellow line shows the mid-price for the instrument, which trends downwards as the spoof orders are placed.
4. The buy order is filled at a lower price because of the presence of the spoof orders.
5. The spoof orders are quickly cancelled after the genuine order has been filled.

How MAST Recognises Spoofing

MAST's Cross-Product Layering/Spoofing metric detects spoofing by measuring the degree to which the market impact of a potential spoof order benefits any transactions on the other side of the market. It balances this benefit with the risk to the trader of placing a spoof order, namely the cost to them of having to unwind an unwanted execution.

Advance your surveillance function

**Improve your detection of market
abuse, reduce false positives and
prioritise high-risk alerts.**

Reach out to learn more.



tradinghub.com/MAST



tradesurveillance@tradinghub.com

Legal Disclaimer

Copyright © 2024 TradingHub Group Limited, a private limited company registered in England under company registration number 07382307 and registered address at 21st Floor, Broadgate Tower, 20 Primrose Street, London EC2A 2EW. TradingHub Group Limited, and if applicable, a TradingHub group company (together "TradingHub"), are providing this document to the addressee ("Addressee") under the following strict conditions:

This document contains confidential information and is intended solely for the Addressee. You will be an Addressee if you have received this document from TradingHub (or your receipt of it has been approved by TradingHub).

If you are not the Addressee or you have otherwise received this email in error, please notify us by contacting legal@tradinghub.com, immediately and permanently delete this document and do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this document.

This document is being provided to you solely for information purposes. Nothing expressed or implied in this document is intended to create legal relations between TradingHub and an Addressee (or any third party).

TradingHub is the owner or the licensee of all intellectual property rights in this document. Those rights are protected by copyright laws and treaties around the world. All such rights are reserved.

You are not permitted to directly or indirectly (including any attempt to) reverse engineer or decompile anything contained in this document, any part thereof or any information contained therein.

You are not permitted to share this document with any other person, without prior written permission from TradingHub (by email shall suffice).

This document is being provided to you on an "as is" basis. TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not make any representations, warranties or guarantees, whether expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of this document (or any part thereof), or as to any results obtained or inferred by an Addressee or any third party, and shall not in anyway be liable to an Addressee or any third party for any inaccuracies, errors or omissions herein.

TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not have an obligation to update, modify or amend this document or to otherwise notify an Addressee or any third party in the event that any matter stated herein, or any opinion, projection, forecast or estimate set forth herein, changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate.

TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not have any liability whatsoever to an Addressee or any third party, whether in contract (including under an indemnity), in tort (including negligence), under a warranty, under statute or otherwise, even if foreseeable, in respect of any loss or damage suffered by it as a result of or in connection with: (a) any opinions, recommendations, forecasts, judgements, or any other conclusions, or any course of action determined, by it (or any third party), whether or not based on this document; or (b) use of or reliance on this document.